STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA Tony Nguyen v. South Carolina Electric & Gas Company)			
(Please type or print)						
Submitted by:	K. Chad Burgess SCANA Corp.		SC Bar Number		803-217-8141	
Address:			Telephone:			
: * * .	1426 Main Stree		Fax:	803-217-7931	-	
\$	Columbia, SC 2	29201	_ Other: Email: chad.bu	urgess@scana.com		
Other:	elief demanded in		t for item to be placed			
		Affidavit	Letter		Request	
Electric/Gas		Agreement	Memorandu	um	Request for Cert	tification
Electric/Teleco	mmunications	∑ Answer	☐ Motion		Request for Inves	***
Electric/Water		Appellate Review	Objection		Resale Agreeme	ent
Electric/Water/	Telecom.	Application	Petition		Resale Amendm	nent
Electric/Water/	Sewer	Brief	Petition for	Reconsideration	Reservation Let	ter
Gas		Certificate	Petition for	Rulemaking	Response	
Railroad		Comments	Petition for F	Rule to Show Cause	Response to Dis	covery
Sewer		Complaint	Petition to	Intervene	Return to Petition	on
Telecommunic	ations	Consent Order	Petition to Ir	ntervene Out of Time	Stipulation	
Transportation		Discovery	Prefiled Te	stimony	Subpoena	
Water		Exhibit	Promotion		Tariff	
Water/Sewer		Expedited Consider	ration Proposed C)rder	Other:	ie Je
Administrative Matter		Interconnection Agre	ement Protest			
Other:		Interconnection Ame	ndment Publisher's	Affidavit		
4 1 4 1		Late-Filed Exhibit	Report			



February 1, 2008

VIA HAND DELIVERY

The Honorable Charles Terreni Chief Clerk, Administrator **Public Service Commission of South Carolina** 101 Executive Center Drive (29210) Post Office Drawer 11649 Columbia, South Carolina 29211

Re:

Tony Van Nguyen v. South Carolina Electric & Gas Company

Docket No. 2007-458-E

Dear Mr. Terreni:

Enclosed for filing is the original and one (1) copy of the Answer of South Carolina Electric & Gas Company ("SCE&G") to the complaint in the above-captioned matter. Please acknowledge your receipt of this document by file-stamping the extra copy that is enclosed and returning it to us via our courier.

By copy of this letter we are serving a copy of the Answer upon Complainant/Petitioner Tony Van Nguyen as well as counsel for the South Carolina Office of Regulatory Staff and enclose a certificate of service to that effect.

If you have any questions, please advise.

Very truly yours,

K. Chad Burgess

KCB/kms Enclosures

cc:

Tony Van Nguyen Shealy Boland Reibold, Esquire

(via first-class mail w/ enclosures)

BEFORE

THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF SOUTH CAROLINA

DOCKET NO. 2007-458-E



IN RE:)
Tony Nguyen,))
C v.	Complainant/Petitioner,) ANSWER OF SOUTH CAROLINA ELECTRIC & GAS COMPANY
South Carolina Electric & Gas Company,))
D	Defendant/Respondent.)))

Pursuant to 26 S.C. Code Ann. Regs. 103-826 (1976, as amended), 103-830 (1976, as amended) and applicable South Carolina law and in compliance with the Notice issued by the Chief Clerk & Administrator of the Public Service Commission of South Carolina ("Commission") dated January 4, 2008, South Carolina Electric & Gas Company ("SCE&G") answers the complaint of the above-named as follows:

FOR A FIRST DEFENSE

1. SCE&G denies each and every allegation of the complaint except as hereinafter admitted.

FOR A SECOND DEFENSE

2. As to the first unnumbered paragraph, SCE&G is without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations concerning the age of the Complainant's nephew (or niece), whether such nephew (or niece) has any boyfriends, or the

presence or absence of a television, washer, dryer, or any other appliance at any point in time. SCE&G is without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegation of the presence of children at the premises. Upon information and belief, SCE&G admits so much of this unnumbered first paragraph as Complainant is responsible for paying the bills for the account located at the premises in question. SCE&G admits so much of this unnumbered first paragraph as alleges that the electric charge for the period of June 6-28 was \$274.12, that the electric charge for the period of June 28-July 30 was \$545.42, and that the electric charge for the period of July 30-August 28 was \$197.15. To the extent an additional response is required, any other allegation set forth therein is denied.

- 3. As to the second unnumbered paragraph, SCE&G admits so much of this unnumbered second paragraph as alleges that Complainant contacted SCE&G by telephone, that Complainant visited SCE&G's office in Aiken, and that SCE&G advised Complainant to have the appliances in the residence checked. SCE&G is without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegation concerning the presence or absence of problems with the appliances. To the extent an additional response is required, any other allegation set forth therein is denied.
- 4. As to the third unnumbered paragraph, SCE&G is without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations concerning the condition of the air conditioning unit at the premises, the energy consumption of the air conditioning, or the blame assigned to the air conditioning unit for the amount of the electric bill. SCE&G is without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations concerning comments or statements from any air conditioning "pro" to Complainant. SCE&G admits so much of this unnumbered third paragraph as alleges that SCE&G went to the premises, read the

meter, and sent the meter to a laboratory for testing and informed Complainant that the meter was operating properly. To the extent an additional response is required, any other allegation set forth therein is denied.

- 5. As to the fourth unnumbered paragraph, SCE&G is without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegation concerning Complainant's actions. SCE&G admits so much of this unnumbered fourth paragraph as alleges that SCE&G did its part and found no problem with any SCE&G equipment or service. To the extent an additional response is required, any other allegation set forth therein is denied.
- 6. As to the fifth unnumbered paragraph, no allegations are made and no response is required. To the extent a response is required, any allegation set forth therein is denied.
- 7. As to the postscript, SCE&G admits so much that alleges that Complainant paid the outstanding statements, that late fees were charged for unpaid balances owed, and that electric service can be terminated for a failure to timely pay outstanding charges. SCE&G denies the remaining allegations in the postscript.

FOR A THIRD DEFENSE

8. The Complaint fails to state a claim for which the Commission has jurisdiction and authority, and thus this case should be dismissed.

WHEREFORE, having fully set forth its Answer, SCE&G respectfully requests that the Commission issue an order (i) dismissing the complaint with prejudice and (ii) granting such other and further relief as is just and proper.

[SIGNATURE PAGE FOLLOWS]

Respectfully submitted,

Catherine D. Paylor, Esquire

K. Chad Burgess, Esquire 1426 Main Street, MC 130

Columbia, South Carolina 29201

Telephone: 803-217-8141 Facsimile: 803-217-7931 chad.burgess@scana.com

Attorney for Defendant/Respondent South Carolina Electric & Gas Company

Columbia, South Carolina February 1, 2008

BEFORE

THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF

SOUTH CAROLINA

DOCKET NO 2007-458-E

DOCKET 1	13.2007 450 E	S S	للہ
IN RE:		O.P.U.B.	
Tony Van Nguyen,)	R	
Complainant/Petitioner,) CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	PH 3: 5	
V.)	55 25	
South Carolina Electric & Gas Company,)		
Defendant/Respondent.)		

 \simeq

This is the certify that I have caused to be served this day one (1) copy of South Carolina Electric & Gas Company's **Answer** via U.S. Mail to the persons below at the addresses set forth:

Tony Van Nguyen 210 New Haven Land Aiken, South Carolina 29803

Shealy Boland Reibold, Esquire Office of Regulatory Staff Post Office Box 11263 Columbia, South Carolina 29211

Karen M. Scruggs

Columbia, South Carolina This 1st day of February 2008